



Responses from residents and non-resident neighbours fall fairly naturally into six categories and the following pages illustrate the weight of interest of each one and the range of actual concerns expressed.

Colour coding of the entries represents:

I residents' responses Jan '16 consultation

I residents' responses Jan '17 consultation

I non-resident neighbours **B** (business)

S (shop)

E (education)



Neighbourhood & Locality

Like;

R.	access town centre /schools/station/local shops/dentists/doctors/Wicksteed/golf, etc.		17	
R.	nice place to live/well behaved children/St Michael's/low crime area/no floods/bus service		10	
R.	community spirit /diversity		8	
R.	greenery/like the trees/flowers/wildlife		8	
R.	recognition of the conservation area		1	
B.	easy access for clients via road and rail			3
B.	widespread recognition of the 'Headlands' address			2
B.	availability of local retail outlets and access to the town centre			2
B.	base location for business across the Midlands			1
S.	regularity of local and 'incoming' customer base			2
E.	historical links with site, founders and the town			1

Would like;

R.	more green spaces/spaces for kids to play		6	
R.	return of the post office		1	2

Concerns;

R.	(in effect, anything which would upset or jar with the existing composition of the neighbourhood)			
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

Dislike;

51	2	11
64		



Development of Land & Buildings

Like;

R.	attractive buildings/same height/predominantly residential/restoring multi-occ' to homes		10
R.	not much space or opportunity for new (additional) development, only 'replacement'		1
R.	retirement homes on Broadway are great !		1

Would Like;

R.	maintenance of good balance (of design ?) - design 'in keeping' relative to immediate surroundings style - modern design welcome, but 'quality' of design to complement existing - maintain the mix of dwellings (maintain the mix of people) - reversion of regular homes back to dwellings (good, e.g. Broadway Hostel) - avoidance of straight streets, curve as Headlands, Ostlers etc.		18
R.	avoidance of any additional demand for street parking - all new developments to include own on-site (off road) parking capacity - shops if not reoccupied as shops, to residential (but parking ?) - 1.5 / 2 spaces per new dwelling - cannot absorb more businesses unless incl. of parking - no conversion of front gardens to private parking (..... elimination of street parking, pavement traffic, rain run-off)		14
R.	proportion of any 'released' land to green spaces - incl. 'pocket parks' - tree planting for new developments (Headlands specific) - all new dwellings to include a proportion of green space		13
R.	rather than 'clearance', prefer conversion of large established buildings with land, to smaller accommodation units but only with inclusion of own offroad parking (for young families, and for oldies to downsize into).		9
R.	more social /affordable housing		2
R.	retention of existing large gardens, no 'infill' garden development		2
R.	maintenance of conservation area rules (throughout the neighbourhood)		2
R.	retain shop premises if possible, but not 'unsuitable' (tatto, sex, betting, etc.)		2
R.	shops if not reoccupied as shops, to residential (but parking ?)		1
R.	pedestrian access needed to Slade Cres green space		1

Concerns;

R.	redevelopment opportunities arising ? - if the fire station moved out - if St. Michael's Church went - if Hawthorn Road School moved away - if Bishop Stopford School moved away - if St. Peters School moved away		5	4
R.	composition of housing replacements for ugly factories St. Michael's		2	1
R.	retention of Hawthorn School building (Gotch) if school moved		1	1
R.	better consultation (poor on Bishop Stopford extension)		1	1
R.	the importance of N.P. in influencing building useage		1	1
B.	recognition of future needs to modify or expand properties to accommodate bus' growth			2
E.	development opportunities restricted due to site access constraints (and space)			1

Dislike;

R.	any prospect of high-rise /tall developments		4	5
R.	house conversion to flats (multi occ' without off-road parking = more roadside parking)		1	1
			27	77
				3



Streets

Like;

R.	retain wide footpaths				2
R.	wide streets e.g. Hawthorne Road				1
R.	public litter bins				1
R.	nice new street lights				1

Would like;

R.	want more trees, appropriate species, and properly maintained				3
R.	more nice new pavements				1
R.	more road resurfacing				1
R.	expansion of improved street lighting				1
R.	sympathetic signage				1
R.	improved drainage (ref. puddles)				1
R.	cctv Headlands: speeding, illegal parking, criminal activity				1

Concerns;

Dislike;

R.	drains blocking, pavement tree roots, failure to replace trees (maintenance)				3
R.	revised pedestrian access to and from town via Headlands/B.Green Road junction				1 1
R.	new street lighting poor				1
R.	raised kerbs (Headlands) affect disabled access				1
					10 11



Traffic

Like;

R.	no central through road (Headlands cul de sac)		2	
R.	speed limit around Hawthorn Road School		1	

Would like;

R.	examination of the effectiveness of one-way systems, and more reduced speed limits		2	3
R.	restriction on bus and coach access			2
R.	want safe cycling routes			2
R.	address the danger for pedestrians and traffic Broadway /Headlands junction			1
R.	organised drop-off points remote from schools			
E.	resolution of traffic congestion and attendant danger to secondary school pupils at the Headlands-Hawthorn-Crescent junction.			1

Concerns;

R.	'eventual' purpose of the new railway bridge		2	1
R.	motor bikes over the bridge bottom of Headlands, no prohibition notices			2
R.	prospect of extension of Ostlers to the railway station ?		1	
R.	concern over possibility running Ostlers into the Crescent via St. Peters site			1
R.	Southlands development impact on traffic into /through the neighbourhood ?			1

Dislike;

R.	traffic volume, rat runs and particular hotspots of congestion		17	
R.	speeding drivers		2	1
			27	14 1



Parking

Like;

Would like;

R.	solutions other than permit parking		3	
R.	one-hour complete parking restriction to eliminate all-day parking (town end Headlands)			1
R.	awareness campaign to people parking on streets; 'be considerate'			1
E.	better approaches to accommodation of (time-predictable) peak periods of congestion			1

Concerns;

R.	increasing numbers multi-car homes		1	
R.	no caravan parking			1
B.	ongoing provision of roadside parking intervals for customer visits			2
B.	maintenance of availability of staff overflow roadside parking			2
E.	the difficulty for parents in access for safe delivery and collection of young children			1

Dislike;

R.	thoughtlessness; parking on pavements/across driveways/at corners/pedestrian crossings		6	
R.	commuters' avoidance of station car park		4	
R.	no enforcement (stay all day)		1	
R.	no parking on green verges			1
R.	expense of railway station car parks, raise the issue with them			1
			15	5
				6

26



Social

Like

community spirit /diversity (reminder)

Would like;

R.	drama club, history walks tours, new year function		3
R.	evening community facilities (school premises)		3
R.	community centre at St. Michael's ?		2
R.	greater police presence		1
R.	improved litter control (e.g. Bishop Stopford students)		1
R.	residents' responsibilities for pavement clearance of leaves		1
R.	residents' responsibilities, bins removed same day as emptied		1
R.	prohibit shops advertising boards on pavements		1
R.	additional bus service		1
R.	family-friendly pub		1
R.	keep the bakery		1
R.	make the effort to support local businesses		1

Concerns;

R.	loss of community spirit Slade Crescent		1
----	-----------------------------------------	--	---

Dislike;

R.	unclean streets; bins left out, dog fouling		6	1
R.	neglect of some properties		1	
R.	overgrown hedges		1	
			10	17

27

Other

R.	one incidence of vandalism (Bishops Drive cul de sac sign turned)		1
R.	overhead telephone cables unsightly (how nice if they weren't there)		1
R.	reclaim the streets, car-free fun days		1
			3

3